## The Profprüfstand, SuSe2022

This questionnaire has been created by the Fachschaftsrat Physik to assess the lectures of the basic curricula in physics. It shall help us to improve the quality of lessons within the physics department. Please answer this questionnaire carefully and return the filled-out sheets directly to the student handing them out or put them into the mailbox of the Fachschaftsrat Physik (no. 97) no more than twelve hours after the lecture. Please mark only ONE answer per question, unless explicitly stated differently. Otherwise the answer will be treated as invalid We reserve the right to skip unobjective, inadequate and disrespectful comments.

## The evaluation of this questionnaire will be anonymous.

Questions printed in italic can potentially lead to a critical debriefing with the lecturer by the Fachschaftsrat.

The general results will be posted in the Ringebene at the end of the current semester and at the beginning of the following semester.

	Thank you for your	collaboration!					
1. Title of the lecture							
2. a) Lecturer:							
b) Tutor:							
3. Do you know students who do not	attend this lecture o	on purpose?	() yes	( ) no			
If you know their reasons, please	name them:						
4. Please evaluate the following points on a scale from -3 (too little) - 0 (optimal) - +3 (too much):							
	-3	-2 -1	0 +1	+2 +3			
a) Presupposed knowledge	()		()  ()	()			
b) Content taught	()	()	()	() ()			
<ul><li>c) Teaching of basics</li><li>d) Teaching of applications of the lea</li></ul>	med skills ()	O = O	() ()	() ()			
e) Inclusion of current research	( )	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}$					
f) Explanation of the interrelation be	3.7	()	O	()			
g) Pure exam preparation	()	()	()	()			
5. Please evaluate the following point	nts concerning the le	ecture:					
a) Structure ( ) very god		() in order	( ) bad	() very bad			
b) Speed ( ) very slo	3 7	() ideal	( ) fast	( ) too fast			
c) Presentation of content() very clear	ar () clear	( ) in order	( ) abstract	( ) very abstract			
d) Have you been able to follow the lecture?	() mostly	( ) sometimes	•	() not at all			
e) Verbally intelligible ( ) very goo	od () good	() in order	() difficult	( ) impossible			
6. Please evaluate the following aspe	ects of the blackboar	rd / the transpare	encies and other	media used:			
a) Amount ( ) too little	e () little () in	order () m	nuch (	) too much			
		. ,	,	) impossible			
c) Clarity ( ) very goo	od () good () in	order ()b	ad (	) very bad			
Do you have any remarks concerning this?							
7. Please evaluate the following point	nts concerning the la	ecturer:					
	() very good () go		rder () bad	( ) very bad			
b) Availability between	, , , , ,	ometimes ( ) rarel		( ) don't know			
c) Efforts to good teaching	() very large () la	. ,	•	() very little			
C) Lijjorus to good teachting	, , very targe ( ) ta	i () meu	ium () iiiile	( ) very time			
8. Have you ever asked questions du		() yes	( ) no				
If you haven't asked questions, plo							
<ul> <li>( ) I don't have any questions.</li> <li>( ) I don't find an opportunity during the lecture.</li> <li>( ) I'm overwhelmed by trying to think of questions in time.</li> </ul>							
( ) I prefer to ask during the tutoria		during the consu					
() I'm writing all the time.	() I won't unders		ing nours or the				
I'm ashamed of asking:		von't understand t	he question.				
( ) in front of the lecturer.							
( ) in front of my fellow students.	( )			_Please turn page			

9. Please evaluate the following points concerning the behaviour of the lecturer towards questions:  a) Reaction towards questions: Lecturer was ()pleased ()not pleased  b) Answers: Lecturer () answered () referred to the (multiple answers pos.) () directly () research () to the question () to the question ()							
c) Average quality of the answers () intelligible and good (	) useful (	) not intelligible ( ) w	vrong () no	answer or questions			
10. The following questions refer to the tutorial, if there was one:							
a) Presentation of the lecture's contents  Output  ( ) very clear	() clear	( ) in order	( ) abstract	( ) wasn't done			
b) Demonstration of how to solve the exercise sheets  The consultation	() clear	( ) in order	( ) abstract	() wasn't done			
hours were	( ) in order	() not useful		( ) there weren't any			
tutor ( ) very good	() good	( ) in order	` ^	() very bad			
e) Sensible addition to the lecture?	() rather yes	() rather not	() not at all				
11. The following questions refer to the exercise sheets, if there were any:							
a) Level of difficulty () very easy (	) easy	() in order (	/	very difficult			
	) little ( ) all	* *		very much none			
12. How long did you usually work on these aspects of the lecture (hours per week)?							
<ul><li>a) Preparation and postworking of the lessons ( ) (</li><li>b) Exercise sheets ( ) (</li></ul>				( ) More:h ( ) More: h			
c) Do the credit points equal the workload?		) too many CP (		( ) too much work			
13. How would you grade the following general aspects of the lecture? (for the grade in the Prof League)  excellent good satisfactory fair poor fail							
excellent good a) Subject-specific part () ()		actory fair ) ()	poor ( )	fail ( )			
b) Didactic part () ()	(	) ()	()	()			
c) General Impression () ()	(	) ()	()	()			
14. What did you like best about this lecture	?						
15. What did you not like?							
16. What did you gain from this lecture except credit points?							
17. Do you have any wishes or suggestions for improvement?							